ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-1194 of 2016.</u>

JanmenjoyBera. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal& Others.			
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature	
		of parties when necessary 3	
	For the Applicant : None.		
09	For the State		
05-09-2019	Respondents : Mr. A. De, Mrs. R. Sarkar, Departmental Representatives.		
	Even after second call also none		
	appear for the applicant. It is noted that on		
	earlier occasion also none appear for the		
	applicant. Since it is the case of 2014, we		
	proceed to adjudicate the matter on the basis of		
	available records and submission made by the		
	respondents.		
	The instant application has been filed praying for the following reliefs :-		
	a) An order directing upon the		
	respondents to communicate or		
	intimate the decision so taken by		
	the respondent no. 1 after giving		
	personal hearing on 25-05-2012 an		

JanmenjoyBera

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.<u>OA-1194 of 2016</u> Order of the Tribunal with signature Office action with date Serial No. and and dated signature Date of order. 2 of parties when necessary 1 3 16-07-2012 for absorbing the applicant in permanent employment in Group "D" post pursuant to the order of O.A. No. 1410/2011 passed by Hon'ble Tribunal. b) An order directing the respondents absorb the to applicant in permanent employment in Group "D" post forthwith. As per the applicant, he was engaged as Tahasil Mohurrior from time to time between 1977 to 1984. In the year 1988, one scheme had come into force for absorption to the posts of Group-D from Tahasil Mohurrior and the post of Tahasil Mohurrior was abolished in the year 1984. Earlier, he had approached this Tribunal in OA No. 1410 of 2011, which was disposed of vide order dated 20-01-2012 with a direction to the Principal Secretary and LRC, L & LR Department, Govt. of West Bengal to consider the representation of the applicant. However no

JanmenjoyBera

Vs.

....

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.OA-1194 of 2016		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	decision has been communication to the	
	applicant. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the	
	instant application.	
	The respondents have filed their written	
	statement, wherein they have submitted that	
	the case of the applicant was considered by the	
	Department of Land & Land Reforms, Govt. of	
	West Bengal and was rejected vide order dated	
	06-08-2012 on the ground that as the applicant	
	did not fulfil the conditions stipulated in the	
	Department's Memo dated 15-10-1998 wherein	
	it is categorically stipulated that the Tahasil	
	Mohurrior should render service of 5(five) years	
	or more continuously after attaining 18 years of	
	age. As the date of birth of the applicant is 10-	
	07-1962, therefore he could have served the	
	department only 3 years after attaining 18	
	years of age as on 1984.	
	However the Counsel for the applicant	
	has filed rejoinder wherein it has been stated	
	that since no minimum age has been	
	prescribed under D.C.R.B. Rule, therefore	

JanmenjoyBera

Vs.

....

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.OA-1194	e No.OA-1194 of 2016		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3	
	respondents cannot reject the claim of the		
	applicant.		
	We have heard the respondents and		
	perused the records. It is noted that the		
	applicant has claimed for absorption as per		
	scheme formulated by the department, which		
	was further clarified by the Memo dated 15-10-		
	1998 wherein it has been specifically stated		
	that :-		
	"A question has now arisen as to whether the Tahasil Mohurriors who were engaged below the prescribed minimum age of 18 years will be eligible for recruitment/absorption in the said posts under the regular establishment of this Department.		
	it has been decided that, for the		
	purpose of absorption of the Tahasil		
	Mohurriors to the Group 'D' posts		

JanmenjoyBera

Vs.

....

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	under the regular establishment of	
	this Department, the provisions laid	
	down in Finance Deptt. Notification	
	Nos. 8321-F dated 06-11-1981 and	
	8322-F dated 06-11-1981 and also	
	the provisions laid down in para 5 in	
	Labour Department Memo No. 1700	
	EMP dated 03-08-1979 shall apply	
	mutatis mutandis in these cases.	
	The incumbents who were engaged	
	as Tahasil Mohurriors on	
	commission basis below the	
	minimum age of 18 years and had	
	not worked for five consecutive	
	years after attaining the age of 18	
	years shall be debarred from	
	consideration for absorption in	
	Group 'D' posts under the regular	
	establishment of this Department	
	even if they are otherwise found	
	eligible.	
	It must be ensured that the	
	above guideline and circular are	

Form No.

Case No.<u>OA-1194 of 2016</u>

JanmenjoyBera

Vs.

....

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>OA-1194</u>		st bengar & Others.
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	strictly followed for absorption of	
	Tahasil Mohurriors."	
	However as per the applicant since there is no	
	minimum age limit of 18 years for entering into	
	the government service as per D.C.R.B. Rule.	
	Therefore the executive instruction cannot be a	
	bar for calculation of 5 years on the basis of	
	non-attaining 18 years. However it is observed	
	that under the scheme formulated by the	
	executive for absorption for that unless the	
	applicant had entered into the government	
	service and he is not covered by the D.C.R.B.	
	Rule. Therefore the prescribed of minimum age	
	limit of D.C.R.B. Rule is not relevant in this	
	case. However the said scheme of "Absorption	
	of Tahasil Mohurriors against Group-D post"	
	was further clarified vide Memo dated 15-10-	
	1998, wherein it had clearly stipulated the	
	minimum age of 18 years for the purpose of	
	calculating 5 years' service. However the said	
	scheme has not even been challenged by the	
	applicant. Therefore in our considered view that	
	the respondents have rightly rejected the claim	

JanmenjoyBera

•••• Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2		Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	of the applicant. Accordingly	, the OA is	
	dismissed being devoid of merit	t with no order	
	as to cost.		
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URM MEMBER(A) M	ITA DATTA (SEN) IEMBER(J)	
Mihir			

Form No.

Case No.<u>OA-1194 of 2016</u>